

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/567,998	0/567,998 02/10/2006 Thomas Freser-Wolzenburg		5511008	7485	
23280 7590 09/28/2006			EXAM	INER	
DAVIDSON, DAVIDSON & KAPPEL, LLC 485 SEVENTH AVENUE, 14TH FLOOR			· Leyson, Joseph S		
NEW YORK, NY 10018		OOK	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			1722		
			DATE MAILED: 09/28/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				/-			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	(
Office Action Summary		10/567,998	FRESER-WOLZENBURG, THOMAS				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Joseph Leyson	1722				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or the toreply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONI	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 F	ebruary 2006.					
2a) <u></u> ☐	☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.						
3)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) 6-13 is/are pending in the application						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
) Claim(s) 6-13 is/are rejected.						
• —	7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>13</u> is/are objected to. 8)□ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
الــا(ه	claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	n election requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
•	The specification is objected to by the Examine						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	-					
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Expression of the correct to be the Expression of the correct to the corre						
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:							
/	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
	application from the International Burea						
* (See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receiv	ed.				
Attachmer							
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail I					
3) 🗵 Info	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date 02/10/06.	5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:					

Art Unit: 1722

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. The numbering of claims is not in accordance with 37 CFR 1.126 which requires the original numbering of the claims to be preserved throughout the prosecution. When claims are canceled, the remaining claims must not be renumbered. When new claims are presented, they must be numbered consecutively beginning with the number next following the highest numbered claims previously presented (whether entered or not).

Misnumbered claims 10, 10, 11 and 12 have been renumbered 10-13, respectively.

2. Claim 13 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. Dependent claim 12 is an apparatus claim which does not further recite structure or structural relationships and which only further recites materials to be worked upon by the claimed apparatus. "Expressions relating the apparatus to contents thereof during an intended operation are of no significance in determining patentability of the apparatus claim." Ex parte Thibault, 164 USPQ 666, 667 (Bd. App. 1969). Furthermore, "[i]nclusion of material or article worked upon by a structure being claimed does not impart patentability to the claims." In re Young, 75 F.2d *>996<, 25 USPQ 69 (CCPA 1935) (as restated in In re Otto, 312 F.2d 937, 136 USPQ 458, 459 (CCPA 1963)). See MPEP 2115.

Art Unit: 1722

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

4. Claims 9 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 9 and 10 appear to be incorrect because the ranges therein do NOT match the disclosure at paragraph [0012].

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 6, 7 and 11-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Nakayama et al. (U.S. Patent 5,112,025).

Nakayama et al. (U.S. Patent 5,112,025) teach a molding tool for producing molded bodies by filling a molding material into a mold (i.e., col. 1, lines 6-65) including shaping internal surfaces having a permanent anti-adherence coating made of a fluorinated plastic or a diamond-like coating (i.e., cols. 3, line 26, to col. 4, line 26). The diamond-like coating having a thickness of 20,000 angstroms (2 micrometers). The diamond-like coating is a wear-resistant hard material component.

Art Unit: 1722

7. Claims 6-8 and 11-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Miya et al. (U.S. Patent 6,517,339).

Miya et al. (U.S. Patent 6,517,339) teach a molding tool for producing molded bodies by filling a molding material into a mold 2 including shaping internal surfaces having a permanent anti-adherence coating 20, 30 including a diamond-like film 30 and a wear-resistant hard material ceramic component 20 (i.e. fig. 3; cols. 2-3). The diamond-like coating having a thickness of 1-5 micrometers (col. 6, lines 38-39).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 9. Claims 9-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nakayama et al. (U.S. Patent 5,112,025).

Nakayama et al. (U.S. Patent 5,112,025) disclose the tool substantially as claimed, as mentioned above, except for the thickness limitations (if applicant does not agree that such thickness limitations are disclosed therein). Nakayama et al. (U.S. Patent 5,112,025) further discloses that if thickness of the diamond-like coating is too thin the reinforcing effect is not achieved, or is too thick it will come off (i.e., col. 5, lines 28-39), and that thickness of the fluorinated plastic coating depends on the surface requirements of the molded product (i.e., col. 6, lines 26-48).

Art Unit: 1722

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to modify the tool of Nakayama et al. (U.S. Patent 5,112,025) with the thickness limitations of instant claims 9-12 because such thicknesses would have been found by an artisan of ordinary skill in view of the teachings of Nakayama et al. (U.S. Patent 5,112,025) depending upon the desired properties of the mold and the molded product.

Conclusion

- 10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Westmoreland (U.S. Patent 6,544,466) is cited as of interest to show the state of the art
- 11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph Leyson whose telephone number is (571) 272-5061. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9AM-5:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gupta Yogendra can be reached on (571) 272-1316. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

ROBERT DAVIS
PRIMARY EXAMINER
GROUP, 1300- 170-

9/20/00

Art Unit: 1722

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

